FILED
SEP 17 2008
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

(3)

Case Number 08-78

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

KEITH ALLISON, PRO SE, JACKLIN ALLISON, PRO SE, ET AL – PETITIONERS

VS

WASHINGTON DENTURIST ASSOCIATION, ET AL.
-RESPONDENTS

PETITION FOR DECLARATION OF GUILT OF RESPONDENTS

KEITH ALLISON, PRO SE, JACKLIN ALLISON, PRO SE, ET AL
POST OFFICE BOX 8052
YAKIMA, WASHINGTON 98908
(509) 966-6320

COMES NOW THE PETITIONERS to request the Justices of the United States Supreme Court to declare respondents/defendants listed in Supreme Court Case Number 08-78, guilty as charged in the original Petition transmitted to the Court.

For many decades, the petitioners have notified Washington State officials including governors, attorney's general, assistant attorney's general, individual members of the legislature and Directors of the Office of the Washington State Department of Licensing and/or Secretaries of the Washington State Department of Health, of the illegalities involved in depriving the petitioners of their common law rights to earn a living at their chosen occupation (denturitry). Despite the petitioners numerous requests for intervention in their behalf, government officials and/or employees have refused to comply with the common law, and/or respect the petitioner's constitutional rights as they relate to property and due process of law. Instead

Washington state officials and/or employees have blatantly ignored statutory and/or case law such as Miller vs. U.S. 230 f2d 486, 489 where the Court stated, in part, "The claim and exercise of a constitutional right cannot be converted into a crime." Official Washington court records will prove that state officials and/or employees have done this to the detriment of the petitioner's constitutional rights.

Official Washington court records also prove that officials and/or employees of Washington State government have, on four occasions, refused to comply with court orders directing them to hold full evidentiary hearings into the denturist matter. This resulted in the petitioners spending more than two (2) decades being deprived of their common law and/or constitutional rights. At no time, however, did these same state officials/employees rescind the petitioner's registrations from the department of

licensing. Instead, they used threats of, and actual incarceration, to coerce petitioners into compliance with the state's unconstitutional orders.

Petitioners/plaintiffs have made every effort to have their cases heard by unbiased state officials and/or employees, and judges with no political axes to grind, or financial contributors to consider. Even after empirical reports showed that denturists and/or the practice of denturitry represents little, if any, potential for harm, respondents failed to correct their misfeasance of constitutional principles.

As a result, the petitioners reluctantly filed their lawsuit with Judge Robart in the U.S. District Court at Seattle. Judge Robart summarily dismissed the case with prejudice after nearly one year of ignoring the petitioner's almost daily requests for discovery. Petitioner's case was dismissed despite the respondents having made no effort to submit any evidence to the court which might have shown they were not guilty of the charges filed against them.

Petitioners then took their case to the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals where it was again summarily dismissed based upon the false claim that petitioners were late in filing their appeal with the court. The appeal was, in fact, filed in a timely manner, but the court refused to reconsider their prior decision; this despite the fact that again the respondents failed/refused to file any documents with the court displaying their innocence or potential guilt inre the petitioners claims. This same lack of respect for the court has manifested itself again; this time in the nation's court of last resort.

As a result of the actions of Washington State employees and/or officials, the petitioners have been forced to bring the matter before the learned Justices of this Court for adjudication. Due to the activities listed above, the petitioners believe that returning this case to either the 9th Circuit or Judge Robart's court would be a waste of time and the court's resources. Therefore, it is with these reasons in

mind, that the petitioners respectfully request the Justices to find in favor of the petitioners as shown in the 1st Circuit Court of Appeals decision inre Capital Market Investment, et al vs. Marylin Gonzalez-Toro, et al. In that instance, the court held that by not submitting any defense, the defendants were automatically guilty. Petitioners believe this Court should follow suit, and declare the respondents guilty as charged in order that the petitioners might return to normal and productive lives.